Previous references. 1894.
~~191~~ .
(Sep. Rev.)

File No. 30 of 94

Serial Nos. 1 – 20

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 – 20

Major
~~Part~~ B. for September 1903.

SUBJECT

Claim to Jodey Revenue of Shama Rao, Jodidar of Uppanahalli, Nanjangud Taluk.

Sl 1. From Shama Rao, Jodidar of Uppanahalli, Nanjangud Taluk, Mysore.
Pet Regd No 27368 – 10/17. 4.95
Submits an appeal petition stating that his claim to Jodey Revenue may be continued.

Sl 2. To The Dy Comr. Mysore District.
Offl memo. 1959/R2801 dt – 3.5.95.
Forwards the above petition for early enquiry and disposal or report if necessary.

Sl 3. From. Shama Rao, Jodidar of Uppanahalli, Nanjangud Taluk
Pet Regd No 385-R/5100. dt. 2.8.97.
Submits an appeal petition against the order of the Dy Comr declining to pay the Revenue of the date grove belonging to him

GPB—4,000—1741

4. Do. The Srcie Comr. Offl Memo. No $\frac{2697}{\text{Sr}103}$ dt - 8.9.97.

Forwards Petition Regd No $\frac{385R}{\text{Sr}100}$ dt 2.8.97 for Report.

5. From. Shama Rao Jodidar of Keppanhalli Narayanda.

Pet Regd No 9068 dt - $\frac{1}{6}$. 10.97

Reminds of his petition Sl 3.

6. From. The Srcie Comr. No $\frac{4532}{186}$ dt. $\frac{26}{27}$. 11.97.

By No $\frac{3557}{\text{Sr}209}$ States that the Jodidar is not entitled to the Srcie Revenue accordg to the terms of the Inam Settlement except the rent of the lands lapsed in his Village.

7. Do. The Srcie Comr. & The Comptroller } Nos $\frac{6340-1}{\text{Sr}230}$ dt - 15 Jany 1898.

Disallows the claim of the Jodidar of Keppanhalli to the Srcie Revenue of the Village.

8. From. The Srcie Comr No $\frac{5923}{\text{Sr}242}$ dt - $\frac{20}{21}$ Jany 1898.

By No $\frac{5274}{\text{Sr}272}$. Requests that the records submitted with his office No $\frac{4532}{186}$ dt - $\frac{25}{27}$. 11. 1897. may be kindly returned.

9. To. The Srcie Comr. No $\frac{7162}{\text{Sr}259}$ dt. 31.1.98

Returns the records referred to above.

10. From. Shama Rao. Jodidar of Keppanhalli &c.

Pet Regd. $\frac{2011}{\text{Sr}309}$ dt. $\frac{7}{9}$. 2. 98.

States that the original reference relating to Srcie which he submitted with his petition may be kindly returned.

11. To The Srcie Comr. No $\frac{7916}{\text{Sr}299}$ dt - 24.2.98.

Requests the return of certain papers forwarded with this office No $\frac{7162}{\text{Sr}259}$ dt 31.1.98.

12. From. The Srcie Comr No $\frac{2578}{384}$ dt - 5.5.98

By No $\frac{5084}{\text{Sr}430}$ Forwards the papers referred to above.

13. From. The Srcie Comr. No $\frac{7619}{390}$ dt - $\frac{8}{9}$. 5. 98

By No $\frac{6172}{\text{Sr}432}$. Submits the original petition returned to him by the Jodidar of Keppanhalli, together with it enclosures, received from the D.C. Bangalore Distt.

14. To. Shama Rao. Jodidar of Keppanhalli.

No $\frac{10861}{\text{Sr}449}$ dt - 14.5.98.

Returns the records and papers prayed for by the Jodidar of Keppanhalli in his Petition dt - 7-2-98.

15

Re Submitted, for the reference to the Secretary's observations on the letter below —

Advances of Coddy Revenue alluded to in the Correspondence refer to those of 3 years ending with 1894-95. Of the two items viz (1) Shop rent & (2) Grove rent claimed by the Inamdar, item no (1) × seems to have been advanced but not ~~the~~ item no (2). The Excise Commissioner states that the petitioner is not entitled to ~~the~~ item (1) ~~only~~ and that what he is ~~entitled to~~ ~~per diem rejects~~ is only the tree rent out of the total amount of Coddy Revenue realized in the Inam village.

The 3rd Commissioner's ~~remarks~~ observations alludes to the Shop rent already paid to the Petitioner.

Submitted to the Secr 10/12/97

If so draft an order as per order of 3/1 13/12/97

× Remarks Endorsement No 17 & 10.7.97 granting the Inam Commissioner (11)

Submitted,

In his letter no 45-32/186 dt 25th November 97. the Excise Commr in Mysore stated that the Jodidar of Uppankalli Nanjangud Taluk is not entitled to Excise Revenue of the Jodi village, according to the terms of the Inam Settlement, but only to the rent of the trees tapped in his village. Government concurred in the opinion expressed by the Excise Commr and directed that the Inamdar is not entitled to any thing more than the tree rent and that ~~the advance~~ consequently the advance already made to the Jodidar be recovered from him. With reference to the above proc. it was again reported that the total advance made to the Jodidar was only Rs 208-2-0 out of the realizations of Rs 1836-4-4. It was then directed in this Office docket no 1612/Ex 78 dt 31.8.98 that

(15)

G O no 6340-1/Ex 230 dt 15/11/98

(Ex 741-95)

further action in the matter

If receiving advance from the Jodidar might be stayed till the general question regarding Inamdar's right to "Todd Revenue" was settled.

Now the petitioner complains that though his right to todd revenue was conceded in the Lanad, the Govt interfere with such concession and prays that either his Jodi village itself may be purchased by Govt for Rs 4000/- ~~and that~~ or

in case the above prayer is not granted, the total realization from todd revenue of his village ~~may~~ be ordered to be disbursed to him

If approved the petition may ~~be~~ lie over till the general question of Inamdars' right to todd revenue is settled, or the petition may be transferred to Rev Branch for disposal in case any steps being taken for the purchase ~~of~~ by Govt of the Jodi village.

yes d 7/10/18

Submitted to Secretary 19/10/18

KG 19/10/18.

Office note Continued

The claim was again inves-
tigated by Mr Venkatrao
in accordance with the
instructions issued in G.O.
no 2074-83 — Rt.166-93 dt 28/07
The Asst Comm disallowed
the claim as it is not
supported by either Samad
or by main settlement &
as the latter expressly reserved
to Govt. "Shendi". The Dist
Comm also disallowed the
claim. Beyond the evidence
the Govt. had before them
when they disallowed the
claim in January 1895
by their order no. 6340-1/6230
dt 15/1/95, the inamdars
have adduced no further
evidence in their favour.
The only ground which can
be urged to help them is long
enjoyment which they state

to have ever since the village was alienated ie. 1818. But the documents produced in support of this enjoyment date only from 1865. They cannot therefore be said to have had continued enjoyment for 50 years prior to 1892-93. (Rule 20). Moreover the inam tenure will cease with the present holders.

As pointed out in many other instances the Asstt Comr. has not made use of the heading of the Calendar. In the col. "amount if any, awarded" he has entered "nil". Though the inamdar was entitled to ½ of the ground rent from 1892-93 to 96-97 under rule 4 & about Rs 208/- have been assessed to them.

A draft disallowing the claim to the precise reverence from today is sent.

true.
5/5/03. To constitute a complete disposal of the case, information is required as to whether rule

& applies to this case
as regards payments for
1897-98 & with regard
to payments for years
subsequent 1897-98
~~the~~ which of the rules
16 and 17 applies to
the case May
information on these
points be called for.

Sd/- 7/5/03

Yes but please add
8/5/3 the observ-
ations to the draft
& submit for approval
to the Dewan through the
2nd Councillor

9/5/3

Ex. 137
10-5-03
comp copy

Oothy 10257
13.5.03.

The claim is clearly
a demand - It is
not supported either
by Lawful or by
title deed or by long
~~title~~ enjoyment - The
adjudication by the

Affidavit concurred in by the Hon'ble Court, in ~~[illegible]~~ case of Sri Venkata Rao stating as noted in General Remarks column, not-ed & the draft - Q

12/5/03

Q. Is Conclusive

Use of Govt Proclamation (dtd ...) Claim the Govt-benefit as stated by the Document mentioned - No 6245 & 7412-A dt 23/5/03. in favour of their order are enquiry was made as to whether the 8, 16 & 17 were made applicable to their case. which the Govt Counsel replied in the negative & add that these proclamations be specially mentioned to make these Cases they are applicable. A draft proceedings is perhaps called for accordingly.

Trichy
17-6-03.

8

1895

No. 2736 (1)

Name of Petitioner. Shamakow - Poodar of

Village. Vappanahalli } residing in Fort

Taluk. Ranjangud } Mysore

District. Mysore

Date of Arzi. 10 - 4 - 95

Date of Receipt. 17 - 4 - 95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

States that he was granted Endorsement No. 672 from Ranjangud Taluk office directing him that he should not interfere with the Shendi Bab and that the same had been taken up by Govt. that on representing the Dy. Commr. about the matter he without giving him any sort of reply allowed the Amildar to trespass & that the Excise Depart. would unjustly interfere with their Bab. Prays that the terms under which the late Chikka Krishnaraja Wodiar granted the Inam may be allowed to continue undisturbed and that the Govt. may not interfere with the said Bab. Prays further that he may be granted an Endorsement to this above effect.

SECTION S. R.

FILE No. 30 of 94-95

SERIAL No. 1

Submitted to Rev Commissioner

Petn not stamped

Petr says he has applied to the Dy Commr but that he has not been granted any order

Not a Council Case

25/4

Mr. Mysore Dy Commr

26/4

Let me see the case when it is moved in the Council. If it be a [redacted] it will be referred to the Dy. Comr. for enquiry and the final endorsement on receipt of enquiry

1/5/95

Transferred to Revenue Board for want of references.

1/5

No R 280 / 95 3/5/95.

(2)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

2

The accompanying Petition No. 2736 dated 10th April 95 received at the Dewan's Office from Shama Rou Joddar of Uppanahalli Ranganbad Taluk Cm: praying of intercession of Govt Officials with his Sheristadar

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures,~~ to the Deputy Comr Mysore Distt through the Excise Comr in Mysore for Early Enquiry and disposal by Endorsement, or report if necessary

2-5-95

SECTION S.A

FILE No. 30 of 94-95

SERIAL No. 2

By Order,

3/5/95

Chief Secretary

BANGALORE, 189 3/5/95

S. A. 2/5/95

M.G.C.P.B.—19-2-95—1,000.

Submitted
(1) Two Rs Stamp affixed
(2) No order of the Dy Comr.
is/ 4-7-97

Stamp shortfall
Rs 1 Rs

1897. 110

SECTION C.R.
FILE No. 30794.
SERIAL No. 3

No. 385 of 97

Name of Petitioner Shama Row. Subbanna hulli Jodidar.
Village Residing at Banjanpad Taluk Mysore
Taluk Mysore
District Mysore.
Date of Arzi 2nd August 97
Date of Receipt 3rd August 97
Date of Disposal
No. of Enclosures Returned

ABSTRACT.

Petitioner appeals against Deputy Comr's order No 10 dated 10. declining to pay the re due on the date granted by Collector & Dewan.

The order under a is as follows:—

It is observed from the "Inchahalikas" issued by the former Contractor as also from the Jamabandi Register, that the Petitioner had only the Kotty Khoss in his enjoyment and not the grass which

Submitted for orders as to whether this may be forwarded to the Excise Commr for report along with other claims on the lines indicated in this office No 2111 dated 24th August 97

24/8/97

This seems to be a peculiar case. The Inamdar has a recognised Kotty Khoss & his right to vend seems also to be recognised. But his right to the date grove in his village is denied —
Submitted to the Secretary — Mr Rice does not appear to have gone into the Exc. Comr for orders.

To Excise Dept
M 24/8/97

To Excise Comr for report
Dy Sec's Office 31/8/97

Drafted
BB
6/9/97

said to have been received by Govt. Contractor quarterly the remainder of the dale grass of his Jodi, cannot be given as prayed for by him.

The grounds of appeal are;

1. That in accordance with the terms of the Sanad, the appellant has been paying the Jodi amount - and has been paying all the Elu Rents: That during the Inam settlement the references have all been duly perused and all the Items of the Jodi have been specified.

That in accordance with the Hunte hali'kar is recorded by the former Govt. contractors, the appellant has received payments for shops &c., annually, as per detail given in the Hunte halikar; That inspection the sale of the Govt. contract has been taken up by Govt., the appellant has not been paid the Revenue

he therefore presented an application to the Deputy Commissioner praying for the same and on receipt of an order stating that the appellant has duly sighed to the satisfaction of the Foddy ship and rights of the grove.

3. That the grove which was leased in the enjoyment of the appellant from a long time, has now been taken away from him, by the Deputy Commissioner

4. That the appellant prays that the above together with the enclosures of his petition may be duly considered - and that orders may be given for the payment of the amount due & here on the date grove of his Godi.

The enclosures of the appeal petition are;

(1) Copy of H. H. the late Maharaja Krishnaraja Wodiar

Wodiar Bahadur's
Peruph, & the Amildar
of Kalalé, informing
him of the Grant to a
Jodi of 35 Star Pagodas
& Siva Rau.

(2) Channabasappa Chetty's
tender for toddy.

(3) Copy of Dt. Commr's
order No. 44, regarding
the right of Enamdars
Jodidars, & date
Grants which have
not been in their
enjoyment.

(4) Contract Mutcha-
-lika executed
by one Mallé's
Gowda - & the
Jodidar.

(5) Yadi from the
Jodidar & the Contractors
Peir, asking for
an account of toddy
amount due & him.

(6) Contract Mutchalika
executed by Messrs
Brown & Co, & the Jodidar
taking up the toddy
shops of the Jodi.

(7) do — do by Messrs
Smith - Papanna & Co.

(8) do — do by Messrs
Dany - Irudappa & Co

(9)

(9) do do by Messrs
Kanappia - Kuddappa
& Co —

(10) do do by Chikk
Kuddappa.

(11) Deputy Commrs
Endorsement No.
10, under appeal

Sd
20/9/97

By hand

Rs. 385

Enclosures returned with the letter dated 10/8/97

MYSORE DIWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REGD. No. 385 R
3-8-97

Two Rs. Stamp affixed
3/8/97

SECTION S. R

FILE No. 30 794-95

SER'AL No. 4

Draft A.

Official Memorandum

No: 2699 / Ex 103 dy 8th September 18
8.

The accompanying petition
Regd No: 385 R / Ex 100 dy 2nd August
1897 from Shamarao Joshi of
Uppanahalli (Nanjangud Taluk,
Mysore) - complaining against the orders of the
Deputy Commr. refusing to make him any advances on
account of Revenue from the date
groves in his village - is for-
-warded to the Excise Commr. & Mysore
for Report -

By Order

6/9/97
for Secretary.

6/9/97

References
AK 11-10-97

SECTION S.R
FILE No. 30 of 94-95 1897
SERIAL No. 5 No. 906

Ex 168
(12)

385-of 97 to Excise
onpu-8-97
Submitted
AK 11/10/97

11/10/97

To Excise
Comr
MK 21/10/97

Name of Petitioner Shamaban, Jodid

Village Doddanahally

Taluk Nanjangud ~~Taluk~~

District Mysore

Date of Arzi 1-10-97

Date of Receipt 6-10-97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

Submitted.
The previous petition herein referred to has been forwarded to the Commr for report which may be awaited.
AK 23/10/97

Petr may be informed of this by Endorsl in the usual printed form
AK 28/10/97.

ABSTRACT.

Reminder to his petn of 2nd August 97 regarding the payment of Sendi amount of his Jodi village.

Prays for favourable orders.

CSH
9-10-97.

(14)

Received from camp
6-10-97
RK

Presented at Mysore
Date 6-10-97

No-906

To



K. Seshadry Iyer Esqr
dewan to H. H.
the Maharaja of Mysore

Respected Sir.

In continuation of Petitioner (Rajeg Shamarow, Judidar of Koppanahully, Kabbery Hobly Nanjengud Taluk, residing at Fort Mysore) Canarese petition of 2nd August 1897 begs to state that no favourable reply either to him or an order to the Dy Commr regarding the payment of the amount of his Jody village has favoured as yet.

Now again therefore he, the petitioner most respectfully begs that your honor may be kind enough to peruse all the records submitted to you with the above application and pass an order for payment by which he may be able to be helped by this amount at this critical time.

1st October 1897.

He begs to remain Respected Sir
Your most obedient Servant

ನಂಬರ್. 4039. ಡಿ ಬಿ ಆ-97

ಕೆಆ138

ಹುಜೂರು ದಿವಾನ್ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

(13) 13

ಅರ್ಜೀದಾರ ~~ನಂಜನಗೂಡು~~ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ~~ಬಿಲ್ವಳ್ಳಿ~~ ~~ಪಟೇಲಿಗಾರ~~ ~~ಕಿಲ್ಲೆದಾರ~~ ಲಿ

ಇರುವ ~~ನಂಜನಗೂಡು~~ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನವರಿಗೆ ಇಂಡಾರಸ್ ಹುಕುಂ

ನೀವು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿದ ~~ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ~~ ಆಗಸ್ಟ್ 1897 — ನೇ ಮುಮ್ಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿ

ಯನ್ನು ~~ತಿರಸ್ಕರಿಸಿದ್ದು~~ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ~~ಇದು~~ ~~ಪ್ರೊಸೀಜಿಂಗ್ಸ್~~ ~~ತೀರ್ಮಾನವಾಗಿದೆ~~ — ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ

ಬತಾರೀಖು 3 ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ ನವೆಂಬರ್ - ಸನ್ 1897 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ದರಜಾಗಾ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

SECTION.....

FILE No.....

SERIAL No.....

By Order,

4/11/97

~~Chief~~ Secretary.

Mysore Government Central Press, Bangalore.—21-6-98.—3,000.

SECTION S. R.

Gen. 301

FILE No. 30 7 94 95

SERIAL No. 6

Gl. No. 3959

No. 8+209

REVENUE 1897 6 NOV.

DEWAN'S OFFICE

14

Subd. to the Secretary

3/12

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore,

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

General and Revenue Depts.

Dated 25th November 1897.

Despatched 27th — do —

Received

General No. 4532

No. 186

Enclosure No. 1

In reply to Secretary's Official Memo: No. 2699 / Ex: 103, dated 8th September 1897.

SUBJECT.

States that the Jodidár of Ulpanahalli is not entitled to Excise Revenue, according to the terms of the Inám Settlement, but only to the rent of the trees tapped in his village. —

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Sealed D 3 Dec 97

4-12-97

The case is a clear one. The Inamdar shd. get an Inám Settlement accg. to the Arrangement laid before him shd. be warned from him

6-12-97

Memorandum seems to have been passed to him. He asks for the rent of the date trees in his village, viz the bill rent. The Excise Commissioner does not allow the claim. This will have to be settled in accordance with such rule as Govt. may be determined upon.

Returned

6-12-97

29.11.97

Rec'd 30/11/97

2/12/97

No. 4532/186 Exc: 1 file (Petition)

Excise Commissioner's Office,

Bangalore, 25th/27th November 1897.

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore,

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In reply to your Official Memorandum No. 2699/Ex:103, dated 8th September 1897, forwarding for report a petition (herewith returned) from Shánna Ráo, Jodidár of Ilppanahalli, Nanjangúd táluk, claiming Excise Revenue from both the shop and date grove in his village, I have the honor to state ~~that~~ this

the Nirup of His Highness the late Mahárája Krishnarája Wadeyar, Bahádur, dated 1st November 1818, extracted into the Inam Settlement Register of the village, shows that the Béiz of "Shéndikháné" (Toddy shop) was excluded. The Settlement Officer has recorded in the Register— "I come to know that Shéndi is also "not enjoyed; it appears that the Jodidárs "collect only the shop-tax and not revenue "derived from Shéndi. Shendi is therefore "reserved." The shop tax referred to in the above quotation, is evidently the Mohatarfá tax of the building in which the toddy shop was kept, but not the license fee for the vend of toddy in the shop. As it is clearly stated in the Inám Settlement Register that Shéndi is reserved, the Inámdár of the above village is not entitled to the rent of the toddy shop, viz: the

the license fee thereof determined by the result of the auction sale of the right of vend therein, or to the duty on toddy, but he is entitled only to the rent of the trees tapped in his village. The Deputy Commissioner has been accordingly requested to discontinue the advance to the Inámdár on account of Excise Revenue. Proportionate revenue for the rent of the trees tapped in his village may have to be paid when the principle of striking such proportion is finally decided by Government with reference to my letter No. 2901/137, dated 28th/29th September 1897.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant,
M Rice

Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

6340-1.

En 230 9 15th January 1898

15

25 nfs

SECTION S. R

FILE No. 3 of 94-95

SERIAL No. 7

Read:-

Petitions Registered Nos:

$\frac{385 R}{En 100}$ and $\frac{406}{En 168}$ dated the 2nd August 1897 and 1st October 1897 respectively from Shamaiah Jodidar of Uppanahalli, Nanjangud Taluk, claiming that he is entitled to Excise Revenue both from the shop and from the date groves on his village and complaining against the orders of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, refusing to make any advances on account of revenue from the said date groves.

Letter No: $\frac{4532}{186}$ dated 25th November 1897 from the Excise Commr in Mysore stating with reference to this office No: $\frac{2699}{En 163}$ dated 8th September 1897, that, according to the terms of the Inam Settlement the petitioner is not entitled to the rent or license fee of the toddy shops or to the

duty on toddy but only to the
rent of trees tapped in his village.

Order thereon No:

Government concur in
the opinion expressed by the
Excise Commissioner that the
Jodidar is not entitled to any
thing more than the tree-rent
for trees tapped in his village
and they accordingly direct
that the advance already made
to the Inamdar be recovered
from him. Proportionate revenue
for the rent on trees tapped in
the Jodi village will be paid to
the Jodidar ~~on the principle~~ according to such rule
~~of striking a~~ proportion as may be
finally ~~settled~~ laid down by Government.

4-1-98

30/12/97

Secretary

To
The Excise Commissioner in Mysore
" Controller, Mysore Govt:

4/1/98

SECTION S.R

FILE No. 30794-95-

SERIAL No. 7

16

Sanction for alms

ರಾಯಚೂರು ದಿವಾನ್ ಕಛೇರಿಯಿಂದ

6342 ಆ 15th Jan. 1898

ಕಂಗಿ 31 15

ಅಜ್ಞಾನಚಾರವೆಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಶುರುಕಿಲ್ಲಿಂದುಲ್ಲಿರುವನಂಜ
ನಗೂಡುಕಾಲ್ಲಿಂಲಿಕು ವಪ್ಪಿನದಲ್ಲಿ ಜೋಡಿದಿ
ದಾರ್ ಶಾಮಕಾವ್ಯಗೆ ಇಂಡಾರನ್ ಹುಕುಂ——

ನೀವು ಈ ಊರಿಗೆ ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿದ ನರ್ಗುಂದ
ನಿಯಿಕ್ತಿ ಖಿಲಾಗಪ್ಪು ಮತ್ತು ಅಕ್ಕೋಲಿಯ ಲನೀಮ
ಚ್ಛಮಿನ ಅಜ್ಞಾನಗಳ್ಳ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿಯ ಕೆಸ್ಟ್ರೋ
ಕೆಮಿಷನರಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಬರೆಯಿಯಿದುಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರಂ
ಸಿಂದ ಯೋಂಲಿಪ್ಪಿಂದ ನಿಮಗೆ ಯಿನೊಂಜೆಲಿ
ಲ್ಲಿಂಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಪೈಸಲಾದಪ್ರಕಾರ ಶೋಂದಿಲಿಅಂಸ
ಪೀಲಗುಕ್ತಾ ಮೊಂಬಲಗು ಸಲ್ಲುಕೆಕ್ಕೆದ್ದಲ್ಲಪೆಂತಲೂ
ಮತ್ತೆ ವನದ ಮೊಂಬಲಗು ಮೂರ್ರೆ ಸಲ್ಲುಕೆಕ್ಕೆದ್ದೆಂತಲು
ತಿಳಿಯಬರುಕ್ತಾದಕಾರಣ ಯಿಲಗ ನಿಮಗೆ ಅಂಗ
ಪೀಲಗುಕ್ತಾ ಮೊಂಬಲಗಿಗಾಗಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟರುವ ಅಡ್ವಾನ್ಸು
ನ್ನ ವಸೂಲ್ ಮಾಡಿಲುಯಲ್ಕೆಸ್ಟ್ರೋಜ್ ಕೆಮಿಷನರ
ವಂಗೆ ಹುಕುಂ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತೆ. ಆದಕಾರಣ ನಿಮ್ಮಿನಿ
ಲ್ಲುಕೆಕ್ಕ ವನದ ಮೊಂಬಲಗು – ಪೈಸೂಲು ಶಾಲ
ಮೆಯು ಇತರ ಧಾನ್ಯಾಂಶಾಕ್ಕಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲುಕೆಕ್ಕೆವನದ ಮೊಂಬಲ
ಗುವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಿಸ್ತಾರದವರು ಶೀರ್ಷಾಸ್ಸು ಮೊಂ
ಡುವರಾಮಾಪಾಯಿಪ್ರಕಾರವುಂಪೆಕೊಂಡೆಲ್ಪ
ಡುವದು.

ಹೆಚ್ಚಿ
21/12/97

ಹೆಚ್ಚಿ
21/12/97

ಹೆಚ್ಚಿ
21/12/97

For Secretary

5274
Ex:272

Gen. 30]

SECTION Ex R
FILE No. 30 of 9495
SERIAL No. 8

1898
JAN

From

25

The Excise Commissioner
(17) Mysore

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Dept.s.

Dated 20th January 1898.
Despatched 21
Received
General No. 5923
No. 242
Enclosure No.

~~In reply to~~ With reference to Government Proceedings No. 6340-1/Ex230, dated 15th instant.

SUBJECT.

Requests that the records submitted with his office No. 11 4532/186, dated 25th/27th November 1897, may be kindly returned.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Rev 24/1/98

Ex 26/1/98.

Subs with draft

26/1/98

No 5923
242

Excise Commissioner's office
Bangalore, dated 20th January
21 1898

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 6340-1 / Ex 230, dated 15th instant, stating that Government concur in the Excise Commissioner's opinion that the Shanbhar of Appanahalli, Nanjangud taluk, is not entitled to Excise Revenue from toddy, I have the honor to request that the records submitted with this office No. 4532/186, dated 25-27th November 1897, may be kindly returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

For Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Draft form 11 C

1898

(18)

SECTION S.R

FILE No. 30 of 94-95

SERIAL No. 9

The Excise Comm^r in Tyam.

Dy 31-1-98

Desp 31

No: 7182

Rec 259 Rec: V.P.

His letter No: 5923/242 dt 20th January 1898 requesting the return of the records relating to the claim for Toddy Revenue preferred by the Inamdar of Uppavalli, Nanjangud Taluk — which were submitted with his letter No: 4532/186 dt 25th November 1897

Has the honour to return herewith the records referred to in the above.

By Order

Illegible.

For Secretary 27/1/98

DD 26/1/98

Refer
10/2/98

385 of 97 Transferred to Excise Department, on 24-8-97.

906 of 97. was also sent in contn on 21-10-97.

11/2/98

Transferred to the Excise Dept in continuation

15/2/98

21/2/98

SECTION S. R

FILE No. 30 of 94-95

SERIAL No. 10

On 309

1898 24/19

No. 2011

Name of Petitioner Shamarao

Village Uppanahalli Jodidar

Taluk Hosa

District Mysore

Date of Arzi 7-2-98

Date of Receipt 8-2-98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure nil

ABSTRACT

States that he submitted along with his petition dated 3-8-97 eleven original references relating to Excise

Prays that they may be returned to him.

9/2/98

2011

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. No 2011 R
DATE 8-2-28.

Draft for ref C

Gen. 30]

189 (20)

SECTION L.R

FILE No. 30 J 94-95

SERIAL No. 11

From

The ~~Revenue~~ Secretary to the
Govt. of Mysore.

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Dated 24-2-98

Despatched 24

Received

General No. 796.

No. Ex 299

Enclosure No.

Has the honor to request that the petition of Shama Row Jodidar of Teppanahalli in the Nanjangode Taluk with its enclosures, inadvertently sent with this office No. 7182 Ex 259 dated 31st January 1898 may be returned to this office at an early date.

By order

Secretary.

22/2/98

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 8084
MY 6 1898
DEWAN'S OFFICE 1898

Ex 430 27

22

SECTION S.R

FILE No. 30 79695

SERIAL No. 12

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

General & Revenue *Dept.*

Dated 5th May 1898

Despatched

Received

General No. 7578

No. 384

Enclosure No. 1 & 1 file

In reply to

SUBJECT.

~~Forwarding the petition~~ of the Iyaundar of ~~Kyathanahalli~~, addressed ~~to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District~~, together with its enclosures.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Petition

6/5/98

Submitted.

7/5. The petition was addressed to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, as requested for in the Govt. Order dated 24th Feby 98, was the one referred to in Govt. No. 385 R dated 27th Aug 97. The petitioner says that he has ended [illegible]

No 7578 Encl 101 file 384

Office of the Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Bangalore dated 5th May 1898

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
General and Revenue department

Sir,

In reply to your docket—
No: 7916 dated 24th February 1898
En 299
I have the honor to forward herewith, the petition of the Inamdars of Appanahalli, addressed to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore district, together with No enclosures, received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore district.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

For Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Enclosure to Ex 12

Letter and envelope
dated 28.5.97

29.5.97

Submitted

The accompanying Inamchalikas given in favour of the Joidar of Kolpaundalli by the former today contractor, bear testimony to the enjoyment of only the hop-rent of the village. In the Inam Register also it is said that the shop-rent belongs to the Joidar but that the rental from the Gunes is reserved to Govt. Calculated at the rate given in 1891-92, viz Rs 41-6-0 per annum, the allowance of Rs 124-14-0 may be given to the Joidar for the three years 92-93 to 94-95. The actual realizations amount to Rs 175-8-0.

14/6/97

Rec.
25/6/97

Pay. Irs
26/6/97

Ad interim Certificate

General No 58811.
Writ. sent Regr.

Inam certificate granted to Mysore Venkata Row and four others as shewn on the other side

On behalf of the Chief Commissioner for the Government of the Territories of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore I acknowledge the title of Venkata Row and others to Inam, the village of Uppanahally godi in the Hobli of Kalalai in the Taluk of Nunjungode of the Mysore district Ashtagram Division, as per particulars shewn in the annexed Schedule.

/1 This Inam is confirmed to you for life only subject to the payment of Rs

Rs 5 1 8

Schedule.

Description	Old No or Name of Field	Old Extent in Kandis	Former Assessment	Former Jodi	Present quit rent	Combined quit rent	Boundaries in the case of whole Villages only
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Dry							
Wet							
Garden							
Total			S 21-15 s	S 28---6		S 28---	Rupees five hundred and twenty eight only

II This Inam is moreover subject to the payment of general, local and municipal taxes and a proportionate share of the cost of the repairs of good works of irrigation in common with ordinary Kandayam lands.

IV This certificate is issued provisionally and pending the issue of a formal title deed which will be granted when the actual area and assessment have been finally determined by the Survey and Settlement Department, after the issue of which this assessment becomes confirmed.

Inam Commissioner's Office
Dated 1st October
Inam No. /

(Signed) [illegible]
for Inam Commissioner.

Name	Shares in Lots	Women	Combined

1
2
3
4
5 — 528 — 6 — 528

(Signed) Robt Cole
Superintendent

Final Settlement.

ಶಾಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಳೆದ ಆಟದ ದಿನ

ಪ್ರಬಂಧ ರಚನೆ

ಪ್ರತಿ ವರ್ಷ ಡಿಸೆಂಬರ್ ತಿಂಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಶಾಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಆಟದ ದಿನವನ್ನು ಆಚರಿಸಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ದಿನವನ್ನು ನಾವು ಬಹಳ ಸಂತೋಷದಿಂದ ಕಳೆಯುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಈ ದಿನ ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ರಜೆ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಬೇಕು. ಈ ದಿನ ಶಾಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಲವಾರು ಆಟಗಳನ್ನು ಆಡಿಸಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಓಟದ ಸ್ಪರ್ಧೆ, ಕಪ್ಪೆ ಜಿಗಿತ, ಚಮಚ ಮತ್ತು ನಿಂಬೆಹಣ್ಣು ಓಟ, ಮೂರು ಕಾಲಿನ ಓಟ, ಹಗ್ಗ ಜಗ್ಗಾಟ ಮುಂತಾದ ಆಟಗಳನ್ನು ಆಡಿಸಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ಆಟಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಲು ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಉತ್ಸಾಹ ತೋರಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಈ ಆಟಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿ ಬಹುಮಾನ ಪಡೆಯಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ

ಅಧಿಕೃತ ಅಭಿಲಾಷಿಗಳಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಆಹ್ವಾನ

ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ ತಾಲೂಕಿನ ಹಿರೇಸಿಂದೋಗಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. 1 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಟ್ಟು 1.00 ಎಕರೆ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಉದ್ದೇಶಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಕಾಯ್ದಿರಿಸಿದ್ದು, ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಉದ್ದೇಶಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಕಾಯ್ದಿರಿಸಿದ

ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਖੇ,

ਸ੍ਰੀਮਤੀ ਜੀ,

ਵਿਸ਼ਾ :- ਬੇਨਤੀ ਪੱਤਰ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਹੈ।

ਬੇਨਤੀ ਕਰਨਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਮੈਂ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਪੜ੍ਹਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਅਤੇ ਮੇਰੀ ਮਾਤਾ ਜੀ ਦੀ ਤਬੀਅਤ ਖਰਾਬ ਹੋਣ ਕਾਰਨ ਮੈਂ ਉਹਨਾਂ ਦੀ ਦੇਖ-ਰੇਖ ਲਈ ਘਰ ਰਹਿਣਾ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਲਈ ਮੈਂ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਤੋਂ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਕਰਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਕਿ ਮੈਨੂੰ ਦੋ ਦਿਨ ਦੀ ਛੁੱਟੀ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ।

ਧੰਨਵਾਦ ਸਹਿਤ,

ਆਪ ਦਾ ਆਗਿਆਕਾਰੀ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀ,

ਹਰਮਨ ਸਿੰਘ

ਰੋਲ ਨੰ. 10

ए अर्जदार वकील मार्फत हजर

जाबदार वकील मार्फत हजर

जाबदार यांनी त्यांचे लेखी म्हणणे दाखल केले आहे. त्याची प्रत अर्जदार यांना देण्यात आली आहे. अर्जदार यांनी त्यांचे लेखी म्हणणे दाखल केले आहे. त्याची प्रत जाब

ಕಾಲಾವಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಾಧನೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಮಂಡಿಸಿದರು.

ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಕೃಷ್ಣಮೂರ್ತಿ ಅವರು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಕಳೆದ ಒಂದು ವರ್ಷದ ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಮಂಡಿಸಿದರು.

ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಖಜಾಂಚಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ ಅವರು ಲೆಕ್ಕಪತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಮಂಡಿಸಿದರು.

ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಆರ್. ಪ್ರಭಾಕರ್, ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎಸ್. ಗಿರಿಜಾ ಶಂಕರ್, ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎನ್. ಸೀತಾರಾಮ್ ಮತ್ತು ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಆನಂದ್ ಅವರು ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿದ್ದರು.

۱۸۔ ہبہ نامہ

ہبہ نامہ وہ تحریر ہے جس کے ذریعے واہب (ہبہ کرنے والا) موہوب لہ (جس کو ہبہ کیا جائے) کو بغیر کسی عوض کے اپنی ملکیت کی کوئی چیز دیتا ہے۔ ہبہ کے لیے ایجاب و قبول اور قبضہ ضروری ہے۔ ہبہ نامہ میں واہب کا نام، موہوب لہ کا نام، ہبہ کی جانے والی چیز کی تفصیل اور گواہوں کے نام لکھے جاتے ہیں۔ ہبہ نامہ رجسٹرڈ کروانا ضروری ہے۔

ہبہ نامہ

ہبہ نامہ یہ تحریر اس غرض سے لکھی گئی ہے کہ واہب اپنی ملکیت کی چیز موہوب لہ کو بغیر کسی عوض کے دے د

ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਖੇ,

ਸ੍ਰੀ ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਸਹਾਇਕ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ ਸਾਹਿਬ,

ਸਬ-ਡਵੀਜ਼ਨ ਮਾਨਸਾ।

ਵਿਸ਼ਾ:- ਸਰਕਾਰੀ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਪੀਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਪਾਣੀ ਦੀ ਸਮੱਸਿਆ ਸਬੰਧੀ।

ਸ੍ਰੀਮਾਨ ਜੀ,

ਬੇਨਤੀ ਇਹ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਮੈਂ ਸਰਕਾਰੀ ਹਾਈ ਸਕੂਲ ਪਿੰਡ ਖੀਵਾ ਕਲਾਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਦਸਵੀਂ ਜਮਾਤ ਦਾ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀ ਹਾਂ। ਸਾਡੇ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਲਗਭਗ 450 ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀ ਪੜ੍ਹਦੇ ਹਨ। ਪਰ ਪਿਛਲੇ ਕਈ ਮਹੀਨਿਆਂ ਤੋਂ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਪੀਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਪਾਣੀ ਦੀ ਬਹੁਤ ਵੱਡੀ ਸਮੱਸਿਆ ਬਣੀ ਹੋਈ ਹੈ।

ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਜੋ ਪਾਣੀ ਦੀ ਟੈਂਕੀ ਲੱਗੀ ਹੋਈ ਹੈ, ਉਸ ਦੀ ਮੋਟਰ ਕਈ ਵਾਰ ਖ਼ਰਾਬ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ। ਜਦੋਂ ਮੋਟਰ ਖ਼ਰਾਬ ਹੁੰਦੀ ਹੈ, ਤਾਂ ਕਈ-ਕਈ ਦਿਨ ਬੱਚਿਆਂ ਨੂੰ ਪੀਣ ਵਾਲਾ ਪਾਣੀ ਨਹੀਂ ਮਿਲਦਾ। ਗਰਮੀ ਦੇ ਦਿਨਾਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਇਹ ਸਮੱਸਿਆ ਹੋਰ ਵੀ ਗੰਭੀਰ ਰੂਪ ਧਾਰਨ ਕਰ ਲੈਂਦੀ ਹੈ। ਬੱਚੇ ਪਾਣੀ ਦੀ ਭਾਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਇੱਧਰ-ਉੱਧਰ ਭਟਕਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਕਈ ਵਾਰ ਪੜ੍ਹਾਈ ਵੀ ਪ੍ਰਭਾਵਿਤ ਹੁੰਦੀ ਹੈ।

ਇਸ ਤੋਂ ਇਲਾਵਾ, ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਜੋ ਪੀਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਪਾਣੀ ਦੇ ਕੂਲਰ ਲੱਗੇ ਹੋਏ ਹਨ, ਉਹਨਾਂ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਵੀ ਕਈ ਕੂਲਰ ਖ਼ਰਾਬ ਪਏ ਹਨ। ਜਿਹੜੇ ਕੂਲਰ ਚੱਲਦੇ ਵੀ ਹਨ, ਉਹਨਾਂ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਪਾਣੀ ਬਹੁਤ ਹੌਲੀ-ਹੌਲੀ ਆਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਇੰਨੇ ਵੱਡੇ ਸਕੂਲ ਲਈ ਇਹ ਪ੍ਰਬੰਧ ਬਹੁਤ ਨਾਕਾਫ਼ੀ ਹੈ।

ਇਸ ਸਮੱਸਿਆ ਦੇ ਹੱਲ ਲਈ ਮੈਂ ਆਪਣੇ ਸਾਥੀ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀਆਂ ਨਾਲ ਮਿਲ ਕੇ ਇੱਕ ਦਸਤਖ਼ਤੀ ਮੁਹਿੰਮ ਵੀ ਚਲਾਈ ਸੀ, ਜਿਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਲਗਭਗ 120 ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀਆਂ ਨੇ ਦਸਤਖ਼ਤ ਕੀਤੇ ਹਨ। ਅਸੀਂ ਇਹ ਦਸਤਖ਼ਤ ਕੀਤੇ ਹੋਏ ਕਾਗਜ਼ ਵੀ ਇਸ ਬੇਨਤੀ-ਪੱਤਰ ਨਾਲ ਨੱਥੀ ਕੀਤੇ ਹਨ।

ਅਸੀਂ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਅੱਗੇ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਕਰਦੇ ਹਾਂ ਕਿ ਕਿਰਪਾ ਕਰਕੇ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਪੀਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਪਾਣੀ ਦੀ ਸਮੱਸਿਆ ਨੂੰ ਜਲਦੀ ਤੋਂ ਜਲਦੀ ਹੱਲ ਕਰਵਾਉਣ ਲਈ ਸਬੰਧਤ ਵਿਭਾਗ ਨੂੰ ਹੁਕਮ ਜਾਰੀ ਕੀਤੇ ਜਾਣ। ਸਾਨੂੰ ਉਮੀਦ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਸਾਡੀ ਇਸ ਸਮੱਸਿਆ ਵੱਲ ਵਿਸ਼ੇਸ਼ ਧਿਆਨ ਦੇਵੋਗੇ।

ਧੰਨਵਾਦ ਸਹਿਤ,

ਆਪ ਦੇ ਆਗਿਆਕਾਰੀ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀ,

ਹਰਮਨਦੀਪ ਸਿੰਘ ਬਰਾੜ

ਜਮਾਤ ਦਸਵੀਂ - ਏ

ਸਰਕਾਰੀ ਹਾਈ ਸਕੂਲ, ਖੀਵਾ ਕਲਾਂ

ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਪੀਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਪਾਣੀ ਦੀ ਸਮੱਸਿਆ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਦਸਤਖ਼ਤੀ ਮੁਹਿੰਮ ਦੀ ਸੂਚੀ ਨੱਥੀ ਹੈ।

۱۰۸ ـــــــ

ਪੰਜਾਬ ਸਕੂਲ ਸਿੱਖਿਆ ਬੋਰਡ, ਮੋਹਾਲੀ

ਸ਼੍ਰੇਣੀ ਅਧਿਆਪਕ ਯੋਗਤਾ ਪ੍ਰੀਖਿਆ

ਰੋਲ ਨੰ. ..... ਪ੍ਰੀਖਿਆ ਦੀ ਮਿਤੀ .....

ਸਮਾਂ : ਤਿੰਨ ਘੰਟੇ] [ਕੁੱਲ ਅੰਕ : 150

ਨੋਟ : ਇਸ ਪ੍ਰਸ਼ਨ-ਪੱਤਰ ਵਿੱਚ ਪੰਜ ਭਾਗ ਹਨ। ਹਰੇਕ ਭਾਗ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਦਿੱਤੇ ਗਏ ਨਿਰਦੇਸ਼ਾਂ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਪ੍ਰਸ਼ਨਾਂ ਦੇ ਉੱਤਰ ਦਿਓ। ਸਾਰੇ ਪ੍ਰਸ਼ਨਾਂ ਦੇ ਅੰਕ ਬਰਾਬਰ ਹਨ।

ਭਾਗ ੧ — ਬਾਲ ਵਿਕਾਸ ਅਤੇ ਸਿੱਖਿਆ ਸ਼ਾਸਤਰ

੧. ਬਾਲ ਵਿਕਾਸ ਦੇ ਸੰਦਰਭ ਵਿੱਚ ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਕਥਨਾਂ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਕਿਹੜਾ ਕਥਨ ਸਭ ਤੋਂ ਵੱਧ ਢੁੱਕਵਾਂ ਹੈ?

(ੳ) ਸਾਰੇ ਬੱਚੇ ਇੱਕੋ ਰਫ਼ਤਾਰ ਨਾਲ ਇੱਕੋ ਜਿਹੇ ਤਰੀਕੇ ਨਾਲ ਸਿੱਖਦੇ ਹਨ।

(ਅ) ਬੱਚੇ ਦੇ ਵਿਕਾਸ ਦੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਪੱਖ ਆਪਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਜੁੜੇ ਹੋਏ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਇੱਕ ਦੂਜੇ ਨੂੰ ਪ੍ਰਭਾਵਿਤ ਕਰਦੇ ਹਨ।

(ੲ) ਬੱਚੇ ਦਾ ਵਿਕਾਸ ਸਿਰਫ਼ ਉਮਰ ਨਾਲ ਸੰਬੰਧਿਤ ਹੈ, ਸਿੱਖਣ ਨਾਲ ਨਹੀਂ।

(ਸ) ਬੱਚੇ ਦਾ ਵਿਕਾਸ ਸਿਰਫ਼ ਵਾਤਾਵਰਣ ਉੱਤੇ ਨਿਰਭਰ ਕਰਦਾ ਹੈ, ਵਿਰਾਸਤ ਉੱਤੇ ਨਹੀਂ।

੨. ਪਿਆਜੇ ਦੇ ਬੋਧਾਤਮਕ ਵਿਕਾਸ ਦੇ ਸਿਧਾਂਤ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਕਿਹੜਾ ਕਥਨ ਸਹੀ ਹੈ?

(ੳ) ਸੰਵੇਦੀ-ਗਤੀ ਅਵਸਥਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਬੱਚਾ ਅਮੂਰਤ ਚਿੰਤਨ ਕਰਨ ਲੱਗ ਪੈਂਦਾ ਹੈ।

(ਅ) ਪੂਰਵ-ਸੰਕਾਰਾਤਮਕ ਅਵਸਥਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਬੱਚਾ ਤਰਕ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਕਰਨ ਲੱਗ ਪੈਂਦਾ ਹੈ।

(ੲ) ਸੰਕਾਰਾਤਮਕ ਅਵਸਥਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਬੱਚਾ ਵਸਤੂਆਂ ਨੂੰ ਸ਼੍ਰੇਣੀਬੱਧ ਕਰਨ ਲੱਗ ਪੈਂਦਾ ਹੈ।

(ਸ) ਸੰਵੇਦੀ-ਗਤੀ ਅਵਸਥਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਬੱਚਾ ਸੰਕੇਤਾਂ ਅਤੇ ਚਿੰਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਕਰਨ ਲੱਗ ਪੈਂਦਾ ਹੈ।

੩. ਵਿਗਾਟਸਕੀ ਦੇ ਸਮਾਜਿਕ ਰਚਨਾਵਾਦ ਦੇ ਸੰਦਰਭ ਵਿੱਚ 'ਸਮੀਪੀ ਵਿਕਾਸ ਖੇਤਰ' (Zone of Proximal Development) ਤੋਂ ਕੀ ਭਾਵ ਹੈ?

(ੳ) ਉਹ ਕੰਮ ਜੋ ਬੱਚਾ ਬਿਨਾਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਮਦਦ ਦੇ ਕਰ ਸਕਦਾ ਹੈ।

(ਅ) ਉਹ ਕੰਮ ਜੋ ਬੱਚਾ ਕਿਸੇ ਵੀ ਮਦਦ ਨਾਲ ਵੀ ਨਹੀਂ ਕਰ ਸਕਦਾ।

(ੲ) ਉਹ ਕੰਮ ਜੋ ਬੱਚਾ ਕਿਸੇ ਹੋਰ ਦੀ ਮਦਦ ਨਾਲ ਕਰ ਸਕਦਾ ਹੈ ਪਰ ਇਕੱਲਾ ਨਹੀਂ ਕਰ ਸਕਦਾ।

(ਸ) ਉਹ ਕੰਮ ਜੋ ਬੱਚਾ ਸਿਰਫ਼ ਅਧਿਆਪਕ ਦੀ ਮਦਦ ਨਾਲ ਹੀ ਕਰ ਸਕਦਾ ਹੈ।

੪. ਕੋਹਲਬਰਗ ਦੇ ਨੈਤਿਕ ਵਿਕਾਸ ਦੇ ਸਿਧਾਂਤ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਕਿਹੜਾ ਕਥਨ ਸਹੀ ਹੈ?

(ੳ) ਪੂਰਵ-ਪਰੰਪਰਾਗਤ ਪੱਧਰ ਦੇ ਬੱਚੇ ਸਜ਼ਾ ਤੋਂ ਬਚਣ ਲਈ ਨਿਯਮ ਮੰਨਦੇ ਹਨ।

(ਅ) ਪਰੰਪਰਾਗਤ ਪੱਧਰ ਦੇ ਬੱਚੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਚੰਗੇ ਬਣੇ ਰਹਿਣ ਲਈ ਨਿਯਮ ਮੰਨਦੇ ਹਨ।

(ੲ) ਉੱਤਰ-ਪਰੰਪਰਾਗਤ ਪੱਧਰ ਦੇ ਬੱਚੇ ਸਿਰਫ਼ ਅਧਿਆਪਕ ਦੇ ਕਹਿਣ ਕਰਕੇ ਨਿਯਮ ਮੰਨਦੇ ਹਨ।

(ਸ) ਪੂਰਵ-ਪਰੰਪਰਾਗਤ ਪੱਧਰ ਦੇ ਬੱਚੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਦੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਨਿਯਮ ਬਿਨਾਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਸਵਾਲ ਦੇ ਮੰਨਦੇ ਹਨ।

੫. ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਕਿਹੜਾ ਕਥਨ ਸਮਾਵੇਸ਼ੀ ਸਿੱਖਿਆ (Inclusive Education) ਦੇ ਸੰਕਲਪ ਨੂੰ ਸਭ ਤੋਂ ਵਧੀਆ ਦਰਸਾਉਂਦਾ ਹੈ?

(ੳ) ਵਿਸ਼ੇਸ਼ ਲੋੜਾਂ ਵਾਲੇ ਬੱਚਿਆਂ ਨੂੰ ਵੱਖਰੇ ਸਕੂਲਾਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਭੇਜਣਾ ਚਾਹੀਦਾ ਹੈ।

(ਅ) ਸਾਰੇ ਬੱਚੇ, ਚਾਹੇ ਉਹਨਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਲੋੜਾਂ ਕੁਝ ਵੀ ਹੋਣ, ਇੱਕੋ ਜਮਾਤ ਵਿੱਚ ਇਕੱਠੇ ਪੜ੍ਹਨੇ ਚਾਹੀਦੇ ਹਨ।

(ੲ) ਵਿਸ਼ੇਸ਼ ਲੋੜਾਂ ਵਾਲੇ ਬੱਚਿਆਂ ਲਈ ਵੱਖਰੀਆਂ ਜਮਾਤਾਂ ਲਾਉਣੀਆਂ ਚਾਹੀਦੀਆਂ ਹਨ।

(ਸ) ਸਮਾਵੇਸ਼ੀ ਸਿੱਖਿਆ ਸਿਰਫ਼ ਸਰੀਰਕ ਅਪੰਗਤਾ ਵਾਲੇ ਬੱਚਿਆਂ ਲਈ ਹੈ।

੬. ਵਿਅਕਤੀਗਤ ਵਿਭਿੰਨਤਾ ਦੇ ਸੰਦਰਭ ਵਿੱਚ ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਕਿਹੜਾ ਕਥਨ ਸਹੀ ਹੈ?

(ੳ) ਸਾਰੇ ਬੱਚੇ ਹਰ ਪੱਖ ਤੋਂ ਇੱਕੋ ਜਿਹੇ ਹੁੰਦੇ ਹਨ।

(ਅ) ਬੱਚਿਆਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਕੋਈ ਵੀ ਵਿਅਕਤੀਗਤ ਵਿਭਿੰਨਤਾ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੁੰਦੀ।

(ੲ) ਬੱਚਿਆਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਅਕਤੀਗਤ ਵਿਭਿੰਨਤਾ ਸਿਰਫ਼ ਸਰੀਰਕ ਪੱਖ ਤੋਂ ਹੁੰਦੀ ਹੈ।

(ਸ) ਬੱਚਿਆਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਅਕਤੀਗਤ ਵਿਭਿੰਨਤਾ ਹੁੰਦੀ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਇਹ ਸਿੱਖਣ ਦੀ ਪ੍ਰਕਿਰਿਆ ਨੂੰ ਪ੍ਰਭਾਵਿਤ ਕਰਦੀ ਹੈ।

੭. ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਕਿਹੜਾ ਕਥਨ ਸਹੀ ਹੈ?

(ੳ) ਸਾਰੇ ਬੱਚੇ ਹਰ ਪੱਖ ਤੋਂ ਇੱਕੋ ਜਿਹੇ ਹੁੰਦੇ ਹਨ।

(ਅ) ਬੱਚਿਆਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਕੋਈ ਵੀ ਵਿਅਕਤੀਗਤ ਵਿਭਿੰਨਤਾ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੁੰਦੀ।

(ੲ) ਬੱਚਿਆਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਅਕਤੀਗਤ ਵਿਭਿੰਨਤਾ ਸਿਰਫ਼ ਸਰੀਰਕ ਪੱਖ ਤੋਂ ਹੁੰਦੀ ਹੈ।

(ਸ) ਬੱਚਿਆਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਅਕਤੀਗਤ ਵਿਭਿੰਨਤਾ ਹੁੰਦੀ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਇਹ ਸਿੱਖਣ ਦੀ ਪ੍ਰਕਿਰਿਆ ਨੂੰ ਪ੍ਰਭਾਵਿਤ ਕਰਦੀ ਹੈ।

੮. ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਕਿਹੜਾ ਕਥਨ ਸਹੀ ਹੈ?

(ੳ) ਸਾਰੇ ਬੱਚੇ ਹਰ ਪੱਖ ਤੋਂ ਇੱਕੋ ਜਿਹੇ ਹੁੰਦੇ ਹਨ।

(ਅ) ਬੱਚਿਆਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਕੋਈ ਵੀ ਵਿਅਕਤੀਗਤ ਵਿਭਿੰਨਤਾ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੁੰਦੀ।

(ੲ) ਬੱਚਿਆਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਅਕਤੀਗਤ ਵਿਭਿੰਨਤਾ ਸਿਰਫ਼ ਸਰੀਰਕ ਪੱਖ ਤੋਂ ਹੁੰਦੀ ਹੈ।

(ਸ) ਬੱਚਿਆਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਅਕਤੀਗਤ ਵਿਭਿੰਨਤਾ ਹੁੰਦੀ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਇਹ ਸਿੱਖਣ ਦੀ ਪ੍ਰਕਿਰਿਆ ਨੂੰ ਪ੍ਰਭਾਵਿਤ ਕਰਦੀ ਹੈ।

ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਸਹਾਇਕ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ (ਜ) ਨਵਾਂਸ਼ਹਿਰ ਦਾ ਨਾਂ ਅਤੇ ਪਤਾ

ਨਾਂ ਨੰ. ਕੰਟੈਂਟ/ਸਟੈਂਪ

ہے۔ ۲۔ ۲۔ ۲

ਸੁਪਰਿਟੈਂਡੈਂਟ ਕੋਰਟ ਆਫ ਵਾਰਡਜ਼ ਫਰੀਦਕੋਟ — ਮੈਮੋਰੰਡਮ ਨੰ:

ਫਰੀਦਕੋਟ ਸਟੇਟ

ਸਾਲ ੧੯੪੨ ਫਸਲੀ ਲਈ ਜ਼ਮੀਨ ਦੀ ਪੈਦਾਵਾਰ ਦਾ ਅੰਦਾਜ਼ਾ

ਜ਼ਮੀਨ ਦੀ ਪੈਦਾਵਾਰ ਦਾ ਅੰਦਾਜ਼ਾ ਹਰ ਸਾਲ ਰਬੀ ਦੀ ਫਸਲ ਕੱਟੇ ਜਾਣ ਤੋਂ ਬਾਅਦ ਲਾਇਆ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਸਾਲ ਇਹ ਕੰਮ ਮਿਤੀ ੧੫ ਅਪ੍ਰੈਲ ਤੋਂ ਸ਼ੁਰੂ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਅਤੇ ਮਿਤੀ ੩੦ ਅਪ੍ਰੈਲ ਤੱਕ ਮੁਕੰਮਲ ਕਰ ਲਿਆ ਗਿਆ। ਇਸ ਕੰਮ ਲਈ ਤਹਿਸੀਲ ਫਰੀਦਕੋਟ ਦੇ ਪਟਵਾਰੀਆਂ ਦੀ ਡਿਊਟੀ ਲਾਈ ਗਈ ਸੀ।

ਹਰ ਪਟਵਾਰੀ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੇ ਹਲਕੇ ਦੇ ਹਰ ਖੇਤ ਦਾ ਮੌਕੇ ਤੇ ਜਾ ਕੇ ਮੁਆਇਨਾ ਕਰਨਾ ਪਿਆ। ਖੇਤ ਦੀ ਫਸਲ ਦੀ ਹਾਲਤ, ਪਾਣੀ ਦੀ ਸਹੂਲਤ, ਅਤੇ ਪਿਛਲੇ ਸਾਲ ਦੀ ਪੈਦਾਵਾਰ ਨੂੰ ਮੁੱਖ ਰੱਖ ਕੇ ਅੰਦਾਜ਼ਾ ਲਾਉਣਾ ਸੀ। ਇਹ ਅੰਦਾਜ਼ਾ ਪ੍ਰਤੀ ਏਕੜ ਮਣਾਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਦਰਜ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ।

ਕਣਕ ਦੀ ਫਸਲ ਦਾ ਅੰਦਾਜ਼ਾ ੧੨ ਮਣ ਪ੍ਰਤੀ ਏਕੜ ਲਾਇਆ ਗਿਆ ਜੋ ਪਿਛਲੇ ਸਾਲ ਨਾਲੋਂ ੨ ਮਣ ਘੱਟ ਹੈ। ਇਹ ਘਾਟ ਮੁੱਖ ਤੌਰ ਤੇ ਮਾਰਚ ਵਿੱਚ ਪਏ ਮੀਂਹ ਕਾਰਨ ਹੋਈ ਜਿਸ ਨਾਲ ਕਈ ਖੇਤਾਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਪੱਕੀ ਫਸਲ ਨੁਕਸਾਨੀ ਗਈ। ਕਪਾਹ ਦਾ ਅੰਦਾਜ਼ਾ ੮ ਮਣ ਰੱਖਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਜੋ ਪਿਛਲੇ ਸਾਲ ਦੇ ਬਰਾਬਰ ਹੈ।

ਨੀਚੇ ਨਾਂ ਅਤੇ ਦਸਤਖ਼ਤ ਕਰਨ ਵਾਲੇ ਪਟਵਾਰੀ ਨੇ ਆਪਣੇ ਹਲਕੇ ਦੇ ਹਰ ਖੇਤ ਦਾ ਮੌਕੇ ਤੇ ਜਾ ਕੇ ਮੁਆਇਨਾ ਕੀਤਾ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਇਹ ਅੰਦਾਜ਼ਾ ਆਪਣੀ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਸਹੀ ਲਾਇਆ ਹੈ।

ਸ਼ਾਖਾ ਅਫ਼ਸਰ ਦੀ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰ. ਮਿਤੀ

ਸ਼ਾਖਾ ਅਫ਼ਸਰ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰ. ਮਿਤੀ

ਕੀ ਇਹ ਕੇਸ ਸਮਾਂ ਸੀਮਾ ਅਧੀਨ ਹੈ ਜਾਂ ਨਹੀਂ ਅਤੇ ਜੇ ਹੈ ਤਾਂ ਕਿਸ ਸਮਾਂ ਸੀਮਾ ਅਧੀਨ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਕਿਸ ਮਿਤੀ ਤੱਕ ਇਸ ਦਾ ਨਿਪਟਾਰਾ ਕਰਨਾ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਜੇ ਸਮਾਂ ਸੀਮਾ ਅਧੀਨ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ ਤਾਂ ਇਸ ਦਾ ਨਿਪਟਾਰਾ ਕਿੰਨੇ ਸਮੇਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਕਰਨਾ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਦੇ ਨਿਪਟਾਰੇ ਲਈ ਕਿਹੜੇ ਕਿਹੜੇ ਵਿਭਾਗਾਂ ਤੋਂ ਰਾਏ ਲੈਣੀ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਕਿਸ ਮਿਤੀ ਤੱਕ ਰਾਏ ਲੈਣੀ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਕਿਸ ਮਿਤੀ ਤੱਕ ਰਾਏ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਹੋਣੀ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਕਿਸ ਮਿਤੀ ਤੱਕ ਰਾਏ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਹੋਣ ਤੇ ਕੇਸ ਦਾ ਨਿਪਟਾਰਾ ਕਰਨਾ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਕਿਸ ਮਿਤੀ ਤੱਕ

ਦਿਲਬਾਗ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਸੁਰਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਵਾਸੀ ਪਿੰਡ ਤੇ ਡਾਕਖਾਨਾ ਮਹਿਲ ਕਲਾਂ ਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਬਰਨਾਲਾ

ਬਨਾਮ

ਸ੍ਰੀ ਮਤੀ ਕੁਲਵੰਤ ਕੌਰ ਪਤਨੀ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਸੁਰਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਵਾਸੀ ਪਿੰਡ ਤੇ ਡਾਕਖਾਨਾ ਮਹਿਲ ਕਲਾਂ ਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਬਰਨਾਲਾ

ਅਪੀਲ ਨੰਬਰ ੧੫੫੫ ਆਫ ੨੦੧੭

ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਰਾਜੇਸ਼ ਗੋਇਲ ਐਡਵੋਕੇਟ

ਪ੍ਰਤੀਵਾਦੀ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਅਮਰਿੰਦਰ ਸਿੰਘ ਐਡਵੋਕੇਟ

ਕੋਰਮ:- ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਜਸਟਿਸ ਰਾਜਨ ਗੁਪਤਾ

ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਜਸਟਿਸ ਅਰੁਣ ਪੱਲੀ

ਮਿਤੀ ਫੈਸਲਾ:- ੨੭.੦੯.੨੦੧੭

ਫੈਸਲਾ

ਰਾਜਨ ਗੁਪਤਾ, ਜੱਜ

ਇਹ ਅਪੀਲ ਪਤੀ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੇ ਖਤਮ ਕਰਨ ਦੀ ਡਿਕਰੀ ਦੇ ਖਿਲਾਫ ਦਾਇਰ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ ਜੋ ਕਿ ਫੈਮਲੀ ਕੋਰਟ, ਬਰਨਾਲਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਪਾਸ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ।

ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਦੇ ਵਕੀਲ ਨੇ ਦਲੀਲ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਕਿ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀ ਤਰੀਖ ੨੫.੦੨.੨੦੦੮ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਹਨਾਂ ਦੇ ਇੱਕ ਲੜਕਾ ਹੈ ਜਿਸਦੀ ਜਨਮ ਮਿਤੀ ੨੭.੦੧.੨੦੧੦ ਹੈ। ਉਹਨਾਂ ਦੇ ਵਿਆਹ ਤੋਂ ਬਾਅਦ ਪਤਨੀ ਨੇ ਉਸ ਨਾਲ ਕਰੂਰਤਾ ਕੀਤੀ ਅਤੇ ਉਸਨੂੰ ਛੱਡ ਕੇ ਚਲੀ ਗਈ ਅਤੇ ਉਸਨੇ ਕਦੇ ਵੀ ਵਾਪਸ ਆਉਣ ਦੀ ਕੋਸ਼ਿਸ਼ ਨਹੀਂ ਕੀਤੀ। ਉਹਨਾਂ ਨੇ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਦਲੀਲ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਕਿ ਪਤਨੀ ਨੇ ਉਸ ਉੱਪਰ ਅਤੇ ਉਸਦੇ ਪਰਿਵਾਰ ਉੱਪਰ ਝੂਠੇ ਕੇਸ ਦਰਜ ਕਰਵਾਏ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਉਸਦੇ ਪਰਿਵਾਰ ਦੇ ਮੈਂਬਰਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਜੇਲ੍ਹ ਵਿੱਚ ਬੰਦ ਕਰਵਾਇਆ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਸਦੇ ਪਰਿਵਾਰ ਦੇ ਮੈਂਬਰਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਕਾਫੀ ਸਮਾਂ ਜੇਲ੍ਹ ਵਿੱਚ ਰਹਿਣਾ ਪਿਆ ਹੈ। ਉਹਨਾਂ ਨੇ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਦਲੀਲ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਕਿ ਪਤਨੀ ਨੇ ਉਸ ਉੱਪਰ ਅਤੇ ਉਸਦੇ ਪਰਿਵਾਰ ਉੱਪਰ ਝੂਠੇ ਕੇਸ ਦਰਜ ਕਰਵਾਏ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਉਸਦੇ ਪਰਿਵਾਰ ਦੇ ਮੈਂਬਰਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਜੇਲ੍ਹ ਵਿੱਚ ਬੰਦ ਕਰਵਾਇਆ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਸਦੇ ਪਰਿਵਾਰ ਦੇ ਮੈਂਬਰਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਕਾਫੀ ਸਮਾਂ ਜੇਲ੍ਹ ਵਿੱਚ ਰਹਿਣਾ ਪਿਆ ਹੈ।

Sagohosli 7

Register School no 45

Taluk = Nanjungode

Hobli = Kalalai.

Village = Kulthanahally (godi)

Kalai Jurnamath

Name and relation ship of original grantee and of de

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Jurnamath in 1810	Name entered in the account Subsequent to 1810
	13	14

Huzur Surnamanya village account of 1823 p[age] No. 13.

Vahapanchally Uttawara Arjibeg Wagesa (Kp.) & Siva Row.

A. P[er] Year memorb dated 3rd Martik Sudda Year Bahudhanya.

Jagavali f[o]s. th[e] year Eswara pag 216 S 2

Deduct amount granted for personal benefit 135

Balance to be received 181 S 2

Original ars

Todi receivable P. I. V.

As also P. Pakkai Vaddavantoa

Arrangement of Talook

sequent and present holders. length of possession

Particulars regarding present owner. If the Inam has been subdivided the name &c of each sharer should be entered in the Columns.

Residence, name and agt &c	Relation to original grantee & subsequent register holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders possession how obtained and in what year.
	13	16	17
Mysore			
1 Venkat Row 50	Grandson of no 1 in 1893		
2 Sambha Row 45 Halalai			
3 Gundoo Row 29			
4 Shama Row 25 Mysore			
5 Vencoby 43	Widowed daughter in law of Siva Row		

(Review by the Personal Assistant and Confirmation by the Inam Commissioner

20

Send letter as per draft to the Revenue Secretary for the Copy of letter no 136 of 1861 + 62 + Sketch and the Memoirs quoted in Column 11 (initial) Robt Cole Supt Inam Settlement 12 8 80.

2 I have examined the records quoted in Column 11. It is an ordinary record for an inam and beyond having been examined again + orders again passed by the Maharaja to Continue this village as before for there is no restriction of the grant to the Grantees life. (initial) Robt Cole Supt of Inam Settlement 13 8 80.

3 Await reply from Rev Secretary.

4 Read Docket no. 9 - from the Revenue Secretary forwarding letter no 136 of the 1861 1862. In this letter the Chief Commissioner directs that this village should be Confirmed for the life time of the holders only, evidently because the Memoirs does not Contain the usual word in "Hereditary Succession".

5 "Confirmed on the Jodi of Rs 52 for life only (Signed) (Robt Cole ( ) Supt of Inam Settlement, Coorg." 6. 9. 80

(Signed) Grant
E. Supt of Inam Settlements.

Sn 632

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 8172
MAY 10 1898
No .....
DEWAN'S OFFICE

Gen. 30]

SECTION J. R
FILE No. 30 J. 96 95
SERIAL No. 13

From The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.
(23)

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Gen & Revenue Depts.

Dated 8th May 1898.
Despatched
Received
General No. 7619
No. 390
Enclosure No. 1 file

In reply to continuation of this office No: 7578/384 dated 5th May 1898.

SUBJECT.

Submits the original petition addressed to the Dewan by the Jodidar of Elpanahalli, together with its enclosures, received from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Rec'd
6-30 P.M.
10/5/98

Rec'd 10/5/98

Submitted with
Dft endorsement.

12.5.98.

Excise Commissioners Office
Bangalore 8th May 1898

No: 7619/390 Encl: 1 file

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To - The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No: 7578/384 dated 5th May 1898. I have the honor to send herewith, the original petition addressed to the Dewan by the Jodidar of Hoppanahalli together with its enclosures, received to-day from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Sd/- Kata Rangayya

For Excise Commissioner
JR in Mysore

No. 10861 / Rc449 dt 14/5/98

(24)

SECTION V.A

FILE No. 30/F94-95

SERIAL No. 44

[illegible] మానోత్ భట్టిరియుడు

[illegible] శిల్పులు [illegible] నంజినగుడి
శిల్పులు [illegible] వద్ద [illegible] శాసనాలు
[illegible] ఇంతవరకు [illegible]

[illegible] ఋషభనాథుని [illegible] 1898
సంవత్సరంలో [illegible] ~~సంవత్సరంలో~~ [illegible] 2వ
~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] 11 [illegible]

A

[illegible] - తేదీ 13 [illegible] 1898

[illegible]

By Order

13/5/98
for Secretary

13/5/98

Secretariat Clerk -
Nec Endorsement
the last under
Cover -

13/5

Ex 66.

Gen. 30]



189 8

From The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

SECTION S.R.

FILE No. 30 of 94-95 (25)

To

SERIAL No. 15

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

General and Revenue Depts

Dated 10th August 1898.

Despatched 11

Received

General No. 1163

No. 60 ✓

Enclosure No. 1

In reply to Government Pros. No. 6340-1 Ex 230 Dy 15th January 1898.

SUBJECT.

Submits copy of communication from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District and requests that the rule of proportion according to which portions of the total realizations of Excise Revenue for the year 1892-93 to the year 1896-97, have to be paid to the Jodidar, may be issued.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Please put up.
Excise Commrs no 5167 & 11.12.97 quoted.
212
16/8/98

Rev 12/8/98

Submitted for orders.

The question raised by the Excise Commr in his letter No 5767 & 212 11th December 1897 herein referred to regarding the division of the Sale proceeds of Grove Farms between the Govt & the Inamdar, has been ordered to lie over till the General question is settled. Please see the linked file.

The total realizations from the Inam Villages for 5 years being Rs 1836/ the Inamdar is said to be entitled to 1/5th Share thereof viz Rs 367-3-2 even if the principle of division proposed by the Excise Commr in the above letter, is finally decided upon. The amount already advanced to him being only Rs 208-2-0 no action seems necessary to recover this advance as directed in Govt Pros: No 6340-1 Ex 230 & 15th January 98. If approved, the Excise Commr may be informed accordingly & requested to make no further payment till the General question is settled.

18/8/98.

18/8/98

Say that further action may be stayed till the General question is settled

24-8-98

Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 10th/11 August 1898.

No. 1163/60 Revi:1

From The Excise Commissioner, in Mysore

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Department.

Sir,

Adverting to Government Proceedings No. 6340-1/Ex.230, dated 15th January 1898, passing orders that the Jodidar of Uppanahalli, Nanjangud taluk, is not entitled to anything more than the tree-rent for trees tapped in his village, and directing that the advance made to the Jodidar on account of Excise Revenue should be recovered from him, I have the honor to submit herewith copy of docket No. 67, dated 4th instant, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, and to request that the rule of proportion according to which ~~i~~ portions of the total realizations from the year 1892-93 to the year 1896-97, have to be paid to the Jodidar, may be issued.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
[signature]
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

1898

From The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

To The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 4th August 1898.

Despatched

Received

General No. 67
No.

Enclosure No. One

His official memorandum No. 585-1/234, dated 17th January 1898, forwarding for information and guidance, copy of Government order No. 6340-1/Ex 230, dated 15th January 1898, directing among other things, that the advance already made to Shama Rao Jodidar of Uppenhally be recovered from him.

Adverting to the above, has the honor to state that the total amount paid to the Jodidar is Rs 208-2-0 as per details given in the margin.

2. The income from the groves belonging to his village is as follows:—

1892-93

Paid on 26-9-97 at Rs 41-10-0 per year, for three years viz 1892-93, 1893-94 and 1894-95, Rs 124-14-0

Paid on 15-11-97, at Rs 41-10-0, per year, for two years, viz, 1895-96, and 1896-97 " "

Rs 83-4-0

Total paid
Rs 208-2-0

	Rs - As - P
1892 - 93	282 - 0 - 0
1893 - 94	450 - 0 - 0
1894 - 95	396 - 0 - 0
1895 - 96	228 - 0 - 0
1896 - 97	480 - 0 - 0
Total	1836 - 0 - 0

3. One fifth of this amount, viz 367-3-2 (Rs-As-P) will be the Inamdar's share, if the principle of division proposed to Government by the Excise Commissioner in his letter No. 5167/212, dated 11th December 1897, be finally decided on.

4. The Inamdar having been called upon to refund the sum of Rs 208-2-0 paid to him, pleads inability to do so and says that the amount may be set off against the sum payable to him on account of toddy groves, to which he is now held to be entitled. His statement given before the Amildar of Mysore, is enclosed herein for perusal.

5. Begs the favor of instructions as to whether the plea put forward by the Inamdar is to be accepted or whether his property should be proceeded against for recovering the advance made to him.

(Sd) D. Srinivasa Rao

For Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District.

Copy

W. Jarrett

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.

Draft

SECTION L.R
FILE No. 30 of 94-95
SERIAL No. 16

(26)

To The Collector of Tanjore

Dt 31-8-98.

Despo 31.

No: 1612.
&c/8

His letter No: 1163/60 dt 10th August 1898 submitting copy of Tanjore Deputy Collector's docket re instructions for the recovery ordered in Govt: Despatch No: 6340-1/Fin 230 dt 15th January 1898 of advances on account of Zoddy Revenue made to Shanmuga Rau, Jodidar of Uppanadalli, Nannilam Taluk and requesting that the rule ~~for~~ apportioning fractions for the several items ~~of Jodi~~ comprising the Zoddy Revenue may be issued.

In reply has the honour to request that further action in the matter of recovering the advance from the Jodidar may be stayed till the general question regarding Inamdar's right to Zoddy Revenue is settled.

By Order
27/8/98
for Secretary

E.252
14/2/03



1903

SECTION L.R

FILE No. 30 of 94-95

SERIAL No. 17

From The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To The Secretary to the Govt. of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Depts.

Dated 9th
Despatched 11
} February 1903

Received

General No. 45-77
No. 245-

Enclosure No. 1 file

Subject

Submits the record of
enquiry relating to the Toddy
Revenue of Uppanahalli Jodi
Village in the Nanjangud taluk

Exam
14.2.03

Excise Commissioner's Office,

Bangalore, dated 9th February 1903.

11.

No. 46-77/245 Enc file

From,

3

The Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.

To.

The Secretary to the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In submitting herewith the record of enquiry relating to the Toddy Revenue of Uppanahalli Jodi village in the Nanjangud Taluk, I have the honor to state that it has already been decided in Government Proceedings No. 6340-1/Ex. 230, dated 15th January 1898, that the Jodidar is not entitled to anything more than the tree rent. Accordingly only 1/5 of the rent of the "Grove Farm" is payable to him under Rule 4 in Government Proceedings No. 2074-83/R.F. 166-92, dated 2nd August 1901. The excess amount advanced, should recovered from him.

Lr. S.R. 9701/SC1

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

for Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.

Calender of a claim to Toddy Revenue enquired into

Chamarajanagar Sub Division Mysore Dist

1	Name of Talu	Name of Hobli Samuam or Nagani	Name of Saura Suara, Jodi or Kayamgrama	Name of Suara - dar or Kayami - gritta dar	Documents etc relied upon	Witnesses	Examined	Juedgment
	2							
	Chamarajanagar Taluk							
	Hyderabad							
	Gundu Rao 2 Shamma Rao				1 Copy of Sanad dated 2/4/1827. 2 - Copy of Circular of 1866. 3 Kuttekalikas from Toddy Contractors from 1867 to 1892 4 Endorsement No. 6342/Fy. 231. 5 Inami Settlement Register 6 Inami title deed.			
	1 Shamma Rao 2, Gundu Rao							
	Claim to Excise Revenue disallowed							

Dated 16 December 1902
Mysore

The Special Sub Division Officer
Excise Case No. 2

Sl.	Amount awarded, if any	Grounds of decision.
8		
9		The Title deed and Inam Settlement Registers produced do not support the Inamdar's title to Excise Revenue, it being distinctly stated in the latter that Shendi is reserved. But from the Mutchalikas executed by the Izardars it appears that the Inamdars were collecting not only shop tax but also revenue for the Excise grove made use of by them. In the absence of distinct authority either in the Inam Settlement register or the Inam title deed I am precluded from deciding the case in favor of the party. The claim of the Inamdars to Excise Revenue will therefore be disallowed.

Sd/ [illegible]
Special Sub-Divisional Officer
In charge Sub-Division Office

SECTION S.R.
FILE NO. 30 of 94-95
SERIAL NO. 18

Read:-

Letter no. 4577/245 dt 2nd February from the Excise Comm in Mysore submitting the record of enquiry relating to the inadvertent claim to the toddy presence of the Jodi Uppanahalli village, in the Nanjangud Taluk, Mysore Distt.

Order thereon:-
no 624-5/Ex II-01
dt 23/5/03.

Welcome

His claim, whether had come up to Court once before this occasion on a careful consideration of the evidence before them, disallowed in Govt. Order no 6340-1/Ex.230 dt 15/12/95. A brief investigation

1801
15.V.03

was borrowed
in accordance with
the instructions
subsequently issued
in C.C. No. 2074-83 — X Dr S.A 90701
R.A. 166-92 dy 2/8/01, in SC1
the course of which
no additional evidence
was produced by the
mandamus. Counsel
are therefore of opinion
that 4/5 of the stone
purch from 1892-93 to
1896-97 under rule
4 in the Const. Order
2nd respect of is all
that the mandamus
can be entitled to.
From the amount
thereof the amount
already advanced to
them should be adjusted

Please add

2. The Exec
Com'n requested to report

Secy
to Mr McCrea in Connection previous records
the Constituencies. regd.

P.T.O

Tlw 6/5/03

if, as regards payments
for 1897–98, rule 8
applies to this case &,
in the regard to payments
~~for~~ under the fee-tax
system, which if the
rules 16 & 17 is applicable
to this case

20/5/3

12/5/03

1902-03

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

EXCISE

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 23rd May 1903

No. 624-5 / Ex. F. 12-01

Passing orders on the claim of the Inamdars of Jodi Uppanahalli village, Nanjangud Taluk, Mysore District, to the toddy revenue of that village.

SBP—4-6-03—05

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Excise, dated 23rd May 1903.

READ—

Letter No. 4577—245, dated 9—11th February 1903, from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, submitting the record of enquiry relating to the Inamdars' claim to the toddy revenue of the Jodi Uppanahalli village in the Nanjangud Taluk, Mysore District.

No. 624-5—Ex. F. 12-01, DATED BANGALORE, 23RD MAY 1903.

ORDER THEREON.—This claim, which had come up to Government once before this, was, on a careful consideration of the evidence before them, disallowed in Government Order No. 6340-1—Ex. 230, dated 15th January 1898. A fresh investigation was, however, held in accordance with the instructions subsequently issued in Government Order No. 2074-83—R. F. 166-92, dated 2nd August 1901, in the course of which no additional evidence was produced by the Inamdars. Government are therefore of opinion that one-fifth of the grove rent from 1892-93 to 1896-97 under Rule 4 in the Government Order of 2nd August 1901 is all that the Inamdars are entitled to. From the amount thus due the amount already advanced to them should be adjusted.

2. The Excise Commissioner is requested to report if, as regards payments for 1897-98, Rule 8 applies to this case, and with regard to payments under the tree-tax system, which of the Rules 16 and 17 is applicable to this case.

H. V. NANJUNDAYYA,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Excise Commissioner in Mysore, returning records.
The Comptroller, Mysore Government.

Exd.—C.V.

Gl: 13508 / 17-6-03. Ex 554 dt. 17/6/03

1903.

FROM B. N. Bunnaiya Esq BA,
Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To K. V. Narjundayya Esq Mysore Civil
Secretary to the Govt of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Depts.

Dated 15th } June 1903
Despatched 16th }
Received

General No. 7598
No. 576.
Enclosure No.

SECTION S. K
FILE No. 30 of 94-95
SERIAL No. 19

In reply to Para 2 of Government Proceedings, No. 624-5 Ex 7-12-01, dated 23rd May 1903, States that Rules 8, 16 and 17 do not apply to Uppanahalli Jodi village, as he has not been prevented from leasing his date trees privately.

Encl
17 6 03

No 7598/516

Excise Commissioner's Office
Dated, Bangalore 15th June 1903.

From

B. N. Kumaiya Esquire B.A.,
Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To

M. V. Nanjundappa Esquire M.A. B.L.
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In reply to Para 2 of Government Proceedings No 624-5/Ex. 7 12-01, dated 23rd May 1903, I have the honor to state that as the Jodidar of Uppanahalli village, Nanjungud taluk, has not been prevented from leasing his date trees privately, Rules 8, 16 and 17 do not apply to him. In the case of those villages which are prevented from leasing their trees privately, on the ground that they have been assigned to any particular Shops, the facts will be duly mentioned in this office letters to Government. I have the honor to be,

Sir,
Your most obedient servant

B. N. Kumaiya

VB For Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

Mysore Govt., Gen. & Rev. Secretariat.

Equine Branch

File No. (if any) and its date—

4

SECTION Sr. R

FILE No. 30 of 94-95

SERIAL No. 20

U24-7

Office Draft.

Progs. of the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read above:- Conl. Proc. No. 6245 E7-12-02 & 23/5/03 from Commr. (Tlee immediate) claim to the Cooly perquisite of Uppara Kalligoi village Nanjan- gud Taluk Mysore District, and enquiring whether rules 8, 16 & 17 ~~were~~ did not apply to this Case.

~~Order~~ Read:- Letter No. 7598-516 & 18th June 1903 from the Equine Commr. in Mysore stating that rules 8, 16 & 17 do not apply to this Case and that in the Case of those villages the ~~fees~~ holders of which are prevented from leasing their trees privately, on the ground that they have been assigned to any particular Ryots, the facts

Wil C Lee en Zijn vennoot
in lico te Heerenveen to
C Conct.

Cop den Heeren -
No 38
247/12-01.
Hn 21/7/03

Recorded

20/7/03

7/7/03

Aan Mr Reedt Commissaris